#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.

Attn. Wuyts, Koenraad Maria

P.O. Box 95321

NL-2509 CH, The Hague

NETHERLANDS

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

T: 01-04-05 (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01/02/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below 402907WO International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 12/11/2004 PCT/EP2004/012955 Applicant KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.

1. 🔣	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching	
		Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, If he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

- The applicant is hereby notified that no International search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
- With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Matthew Davis

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international policiation. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
  "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
   "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
   "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
   "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended, it must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
402907WO	7.0.1.0.1					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/ye	(caniest) Friority Date (day/monthlyear)				
PCT/EP2004/012955	12/11/2004	28/11/2003				
Applicant						
KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.	KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.					
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.					
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsheets					
· —	a copy of each prior art document cited					
Basis of the report     a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, units and the language.	1. Basis of the report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
The international this Authority (Ru		translation of the international application furnished to				
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence dis	closed in the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	· .				
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).	•				
4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,						
the text Is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.					
X the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follows:					
CALL DETAIL RECORD FOR	R INTERNET CALL WAITING					
5. With regard to the abstract,						
X the text is approved as su	shmitted by the applicant					
		Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant				
may, within one month fro	om the date of mailing of this internation	al search report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regard to the drawings,						
l	oublished with the abstract is Figure No.	_3				
X as suggested by	, ,					
	is Authority, because the applicant failed					
	is Authority, because this figure better ch	naracterizes the invention.				
b none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.					

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP2004/012955

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 HO4M3/428 HO4M H04M7/00 H04M15/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ANONYMOUS: "XACCT SOLUTIONS FOR IP 1 - 11X TELEPHONY, PROFITING FROM THE IP **REVOLUTION""** INTERNET ARTICLE, 'Online! June 2001 (2001-06), pages 1-20, XP002276681 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.xacct.com/documents/ip\_tele phony\_white\_paper.pdf> 'retrieved on 2004-04-07! page 2, paragraph 3 page 3, paragraph 2-4 page 11, paragraph 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. X Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international \*X\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the citation or other special reason (as specified) document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document published prior to the international filing date but "&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 01/02/2005 24 January 2005 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Tillgren, M Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

2

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/012955

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DODDS D E ET AL: "Simultaneous voice and Internet data on rural subscriber lines" COMMUNICATIONS, COMPUTERS AND SIGNAL PROCESSING, 1999 IEEE PACIFIC RIM CONFERENCE ON VICTORIA, BC, CANADA 22-24 AUG. 1999, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, IEEE, US, 22 August 1999 (1999-08-22), pages 18-21, XP010356638 ISBN: 0-7803-5582-2 the whole document	1-11
X	WO 00/74431 A (AC PROPERTIES BV ;BOWMAN AMUAH MICHEL K (US)) 7 December 2000 (2000-12-07) the whole document	1-11
A	ZHU X ET AL: "IIN model: modifications and case study" COMPUTER NETWORKS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V., AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 35, no. 5, April 2001 (2001-04), pages 507-519, XP004304863 ISSN: 1389-1286 the whole document	1-11

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/012955

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0074431	A	07-12-2000	US AU WO	6704303 B1 5726000 A 0074431 A2	09-03-2004 18-12-2000 07-12-2000

#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTHO	ORITY :		PCT
see form	PCT/ISA/220	- :	INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
		; ;	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file see form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below	
International application PCT/EP2004/01295		International filing date (d	! day/month/year)	Priority date (dayimonthiyear) 28.11.2003
International Patent Clas H04M3/428, H04M7		both national classification  0	and IPC	
Applicant KONINKLIJKE KPN				
1. This opinion co		ons relating to the foll		
If a demand for it written opinion of the applicant che International Burwill not be so cool of this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; circle Certain docume Certain defects Certain observations.  ION International prelification of the International prelification of the Internation of the In	f invention ement under Rule 43 bis tations and explanations ents cited is in the international apprations on the internation al Preliminary Examination is al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written on eye, considered to be a sey together, where appro-	s.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state of supporting such state of such state of such state of such state of such such such such such such such such	re step and industrial applicability  novelty, inventive step or industrial ement  usually be considered to be a dowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notified the tional Searching Authority  PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,
			i A. Alestin d'Orr	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Office

Tillgren, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7497



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012955

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type	of material:		
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:				
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional spies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additio	onal comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

10,11

No:

Claims

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication:

D1=Brochure "XACCT SOLUTIONS FRO IP TELEPHONY, PROFITING FROM THE IP REVOLUTION", June 2001, Available from the Internet <URL: http://www.xacct.com/documents/ip\_telephony\_white\_paper.pdf>, 7 April 2004.

D2=Communications, Computers and Signal Processing, 1999 IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Victoria, BC, Canada 22-24 Aug. 1999, Piscataway, NJ, USA,IEEE, US, 1999-08-22 "Simultaneous Voice an Internet Data on Rural Subscriber Lines", Dodds and Swanson, University of Saskatchewan and TRLabs, Saskatoon, Canada.

- 2) With regard to present claim 1 the document D1 discloses method for logging information during a call completion process (page 12, paragraph 2), in an Internet call waiting environment (page 2, paragraph 3), comprising the steps of: creating a service detail record (page 12, paragraph 2), and assigning a value to a parameter of the service detail record (page 12, paragraph 2).
  - Hence claim 1 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 3) It could be argued that there is no explicit indication in D1 that the call detail records could be used in the Internet call waiting environment. The examining division does however considered this connection to be implicitly made since this is one service mentioned in D1. Even if the applicant could convincingly argue that this connection does not exist. Claim 1 would not be inventive for the following reasons:

The document D2 discloses Internet call waiting, the difference between the subject matter of claim 1 and D2 is therefore the creation of a call detail record in claim 1.

The objective problem to be solved by claim 1 is therefore how to acquire data, regarding the connection, for management purposes, e. g. billing.

To do this by establish a call detail record as in claim 1 is common practice in the telecommunication field. Furthermore it is even known from the field of IP-telephony to establish call detail records (see D1). Therefore it has to be considered obvious to the man skilled in the art to implement a method for establishing a call detail record according to D1 in a system according to D2 and arrive at the method of claim 1.

Claim 1 would therefore not involve an in inventive step and would therefore not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 4) The subject matter of independent claim 8 is equivalent to that of claim 1, however expressed in terms of apparatus features. Therefore the objections above regarding claim 1 also hold for claim 8.
  - Hence claim 8 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 5) The only difference between the subject matter of independent claim 9 and that of claim 8 is that it is explicitly mentioned in claim 9 that the device for logging can be accessed via a network. This is however considered to be explicitly known from D1.
  - Hence claim 9 does not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since its subject matter is not novel.
- 6) The additional subject matter of the dependent claims 2-7 is known from D1 (page 11, paragraph 1).
  - Hence claims 2-7 do not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT since their respective subject matter is not novel.
- 7) The additional subject matter of claims 10 (the network is mobile) and 11 (the network is fixed) does not give any contribution to an inventive step, since there are only two choices between networks, i.e. fixed or mobile. Therefore it is obvious

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012955

to chose one or both of them.

Hence claims 10 and 11 do not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT since their respective subject matter does not involve an inventive step.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

- 1) Independent claims 1, 8 and 9 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with known features being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2) To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the documents D1 and D2 should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be discussed.